Eur. Phys. J. C 24, 491–494 (2002)
Digital Object Identifier (DOI) 10.1007/s10052-002-0938-6

THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL JOURNAL C

# Noncommutative spin-1/2 representations

J.M. Grimstrup[1,a], H. Grosse[2,b], E. Kraus[3,c], L. Popp[1,d], M. Schweda[1,e], R. Wulkenhaar[2,f]

[1] Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Wien, Wiedner Hauptstraße 8–10, 1040 Wien, Austria
[2] Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Wien, Boltzmanngasse 5, 1090 Wien, Austria
[3] Physikalisches Institut der Universität Bonn, Nußallee 12, 53115 Bonn, Germany

Received: 5 December 2001 /
Published online: 22 May 2002 – 

**Abstract.** In this letter we apply the methods of our previous paper, hep-th/0108045, to noncommutative fermions. We show that the fermions form a spin-1/2 representation of the Lorentz algebra. The covariant splitting of the conformal transformations into a field-dependent part and a $\theta$-part implies the Seiberg–Witten differential equations for the fermions.

## 1 Introduction

This letter is an extension of ideas of our previous paper [1] to noncommutative fermion fields. We define a noncommutative version of (infinitesimal, rigid) conformal transformations and show that they leave the noncommutative Dirac action invariant. The conformal operators and noncommutative gauge transformations form a Lie algebra (a semidirect product). However, since gauge transformations involve the $\star$-product (and thereby the noncommutative parameter $\theta$) one immediately sees that the individual conformal operators (field- and $\theta$-transformations) commuted with gauge transformations do not close in the above Lie algebra. There exists a certain splitting of the combined conformal operators into new individual components so that the commutator of gauge transformations with them is again a gauge transformation. From this new splitting we derive the Seiberg–Witten differential equations for the fermion fields.

In general one should distinguish between observer and particle Lorentz (or more general, conformal) transformations, which are inequivalent when one considers background fields equipped with Lorentz indices, such as the noncommutative parameter $\theta^{\alpha\beta}$. In the following we solely refer to "observer" Lorentz transformations. Please refer to [1] and references therein for further details.

As stressed in our previous paper [1] the above mentioned splitting of conformal transformations in a dynamical (field) and a background ($\theta$) part is the unique splitting which leads to a gauge-invariant breaking of "particle" Lorentz transformations.

## 2 Commutative case

We recall from our previous paper [1] the commutative Ward identity operators of primitive conformal[1] transformations of the gauge field $A_\mu$:

$$W^{\mathrm{T}}_{A;\tau} := \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\partial_\tau A_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu}\right), \tag{1}$$

$$W^{\mathrm{R}}_{A;\alpha\beta} := \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\Bigg(\Big(g_{\mu\alpha}A_\beta - g_{\mu\beta}A_\alpha + x_\alpha\partial_\beta A_\mu - x_\beta\partial_\alpha A_\mu\Big)\frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu}\Bigg), \tag{2}$$

$$W^{\mathrm{D}}_{A} := \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\big(A_\mu + x^\delta\partial_\delta A_\mu\big)\frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu}\right). \tag{3}$$

The commutative (primitive) conformal transformations of fermions $\psi$ and $\bar\psi = \psi^\dagger\gamma^0$ are given by

$$W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\psi;\tau} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left(\left\langle \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\psi}\partial_\tau\psi\right\rangle + \left\langle \partial_\tau\bar\psi\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\bar\psi}\right\rangle\right), \tag{4}$$

$$W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\psi;\alpha\beta} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left(\left\langle \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\psi}\left(x_\alpha\partial_\beta\psi - x_\beta\partial_\alpha\psi + \frac{1}{4}[\gamma_\alpha,\gamma_\beta]\psi\right)\right\rangle\right) \tag{5}$$

[a] e-mail: jesper@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at; work supported by The Danish Research Agency.
[b] e-mail: grosse@doppler.thp.univie.ac.at
[c] e-mail: kraus@th.physik.uni-bonn.de
[d] e-mail: popp@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at; work supported in part by "Fonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung" (FWF) under contract P13125-PHY.
[e] e-mail: mschweda@tph.tuwien.ac.at
[f] e-mail: raimar@doppler.thp.univie.ac.at, Marie-Curie Fellow.

[1] In the following the term "conformal" will always refer to the rigid transformations as introduced in [1]

$$+\left\langle\left(x_\alpha\partial_\beta\bar\psi - x_\beta\partial_\alpha\bar\psi - \frac{1}{4}\bar\psi[\gamma_\alpha,\gamma_\beta]\right)\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\bar\psi}\right\rangle\Bigg),$$

$$W^{\mathrm{D}}_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left(\left\langle\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\psi}\left(\frac{3}{2}\psi + x^\delta\partial_\delta\psi\right)\right\rangle + \left\langle\left(\frac{3}{2}\bar\psi + x^\delta\partial_\delta\bar\psi\right)\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\bar\psi}\right\rangle\right), \tag{6}$$

where the bracket $\langle,\rangle$ indicates the invariant product in spinor space and the trace in color space.

The Dirac action

$$\Sigma_{\mathrm{D}} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\,\langle\bar\psi\big(\mathrm{i}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - \mathrm{i}A_\mu) - m\big)\psi\rangle\,, \tag{7}$$

is invariant under a gauge transformation $W^{\mathrm{G}}_{A+\psi;\lambda}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\mathrm{G}}_{A+\psi;\lambda} &= W^{\mathrm{G}}_{A;\lambda} + W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\psi;\lambda},\\ W^{\mathrm{G}}_{A;\lambda} &= \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\big(\partial_\mu\lambda - \mathrm{i}[A_\mu,\lambda]\big)\frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu}\right), \\ W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\psi;\lambda} &= \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left(\left\langle(-\mathrm{i}\bar\psi\lambda)\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\bar\psi}\right\rangle + \left\langle\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\psi}(\mathrm{i}\lambda\psi)\right\rangle\right). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Furthermore, we have invariance under translation $W^{\mathrm{T}}_{A+\psi;\tau}$ and rotation $W^{\mathrm{R}}_{A+\psi;\alpha\beta}$ and additionally, for $m = 0$, under dilatation $W^{\mathrm{D}}_{A+\psi}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\mathrm{T}}_{A+\psi;\tau} &= W^{\mathrm{T}}_{A;\tau} + W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\psi;\tau},\\ W^{\mathrm{R}}_{A+\psi;\alpha\beta} &= W^{\mathrm{R}}_{A;\alpha\beta} + W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\psi;\alpha\beta},\\ W^{\mathrm{D}}_{A+\psi} &= W^{\mathrm{D}}_{A} + W^{\mathrm{D}}_{\psi}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

## 3 Noncommutative case

The noncommutative generalization is obvious. The noncommutative conformal transformations of the gauge field [1] and fermions are given by

$$W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\hat A;\tau} := \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\partial_\tau\hat A_\mu\frac{\delta}{\delta\hat A_\mu}\right), \tag{10}$$

$$W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat A;\alpha\beta} := \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\Bigg(\left(\frac{1}{2}\big\{x_\alpha,\partial_\beta\hat A_\mu\big\}_\star - \frac{1}{2}\big\{x_\beta,\partial_\alpha\hat A_\mu\big\}_\star + g_{\mu\alpha}\hat A_\beta - g_{\mu\beta}\hat A_\alpha\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta\hat A_\mu}\Bigg), \tag{11}$$

$$W^{\mathrm{D}}_{\hat A} := \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\big\{x^\delta,\partial_\delta\hat A_\mu\big\}_\star + \hat A_\mu\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta\hat A_\mu}\right), \tag{12}$$

$$W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\hat\psi;\tau} := \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left(\left\langle\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat\psi}\partial_\tau\hat\psi\right\rangle + \left\langle\partial_\tau\hat{\bar\psi}\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat{\bar\psi}}\right\rangle\right), \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat\psi;\alpha\beta} := \int \mathrm{d}^4x\Bigg(&\left\langle\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat\psi}\left(x_\alpha\star\partial_\beta\hat\psi - x_\beta\star\partial_\alpha\hat\psi - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta_\alpha{}^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\beta\hat\psi + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta_\beta{}^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\alpha\hat\psi + \frac{1}{4}[\gamma_\alpha,\gamma_\beta]\hat\psi\right)\right\rangle\\ &+\left\langle\left(\partial_\beta\hat{\bar\psi}\star x_\alpha - \partial_\alpha\hat{\bar\psi}\star x_\beta + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta_\alpha{}^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\beta\hat{\bar\psi} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta_\beta{}^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\alpha\hat{\bar\psi} - \frac{1}{4}\hat{\bar\psi}[\gamma_\alpha,\gamma_\beta]\right)\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat{\bar\psi}}\right\rangle\Bigg), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\mathrm{D}}_{\psi} := \int \mathrm{d}^4x\Bigg(&\left\langle\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat\psi}\left(\frac{3}{2}\hat\psi + x^\delta\star\partial_\delta\hat\psi\right)\right\rangle\\ &+\left\langle\left(\frac{3}{2}\hat{\bar\psi} + \partial_\delta\hat{\bar\psi}\star x^\delta\right)\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat{\bar\psi}}\right\rangle\Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The noncommutative Dirac action is defined by

$$\hat\Sigma_{\mathrm{D}} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left\langle\hat{\bar\psi}\star\big(\mathrm{i}\gamma^\mu\hat D_\mu - m\big)\hat\psi\right\rangle, \tag{16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat D_\mu\hat\psi &= \partial_\mu\hat\psi - \mathrm{i}\hat A_\mu\star\hat\psi,\\ \hat{\bar D}_\mu\hat{\bar\psi} &= \partial_\mu\hat{\bar\psi} + \mathrm{i}\hat{\bar\psi}\star\hat A_\mu \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

are the noncommutative covariant derivatives in the (anti) fundamental representation. The action (16) is invariant under noncommutative gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned} W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi;\hat\lambda} &= W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat A;\hat\lambda} + W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat\psi;\hat\lambda},\\ W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat A;\hat\lambda} &= \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\hat D_\mu\hat\lambda\frac{\delta}{\delta\hat A_\mu}\right), \\ W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat\psi;\hat\lambda} &= \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left(\left\langle(-\mathrm{i}\hat{\bar\psi}\star\hat\lambda)\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat{\bar\psi}}\right\rangle + \left\langle\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat\psi}(\mathrm{i}\hat\lambda\star\hat\psi)\right\rangle\right), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $\hat D_\mu\bullet = \partial_\mu\bullet - \mathrm{i}[\hat A_\mu,\bullet]_\star$ is the noncommutative covariant derivative in the adjoint representation.

Let us first compute the rotational transformation of the action (16). We find

$$\begin{aligned} &(W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat A;\alpha\beta} + W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat\psi;\alpha\beta})\hat\Sigma_{\mathrm{D}}\\ &= \int \mathrm{d}^4x\Bigg(\theta_\alpha{}^\rho\left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left\langle\hat{\bar\psi}\star\gamma^\mu\partial_\rho\hat A_\mu\star\partial_\beta\hat\psi\right\rangle + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left\langle\hat{\bar\psi}\star\gamma^\mu\partial_\beta\hat A_\mu\star\partial_\rho\hat\psi\right\rangle\right)\\ &+\theta_\beta{}^\rho\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left\langle\hat{\bar\psi}\star\gamma^\mu\partial_\rho\hat A_\mu\star\partial_\alpha\hat\psi\right\rangle - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left\langle\hat{\bar\psi}\star\gamma^\mu\partial_\alpha\hat A_\mu\star\partial_\rho\hat\psi\right\rangle\right)\Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

We must also take the rotational transformation of $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ into account,

$$W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\theta;\tau}\theta^{\mu\nu} := 0,$$

$$W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\theta;\alpha\beta}\theta^{\mu\nu} := \delta^\mu_\alpha\theta_\beta{}^\nu - \delta^\mu_\beta\theta_\alpha{}^\nu + \delta^\nu_\alpha\theta^\mu{}_\beta - \delta^\nu_\beta\theta^\mu{}_\alpha,$$
$$W^{\mathrm{D}}_\theta\theta^{\mu\nu} := -2\theta^{\mu\nu}, \tag{19}$$

which acts according to

$$W^?_\theta(U\star V) = \left(W^?_\theta U\right)\star V + U\star\left(W^?_\theta V\right) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(W^?_\theta\theta^{\mu\nu}\right)(\partial_\mu U)\star(\partial_\nu V), \tag{20}$$
$$W^?_\theta \in \left\{W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\theta;\tau}, W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\theta;\alpha\beta}, W^{\mathrm{D}}_\theta\right\}$$

on the $\star$-product in the gluon–fermion vertex $\int \mathrm{d}^4x\langle\hat{\bar\psi}\star\gamma^\mu\hat A_\mu\star\hat\psi\rangle$. This yields the invariance of the noncommutative Dirac action under complete rotational transformations,

$$(W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat A;\alpha\beta} + W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat\psi;\alpha\beta} + W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\theta;\alpha\beta})\hat\Sigma_{\mathrm{D}} = 0, \tag{21}$$

under the assumption

$$W^?_\theta\left\{\hat A_\mu, \hat\psi, \hat{\bar\psi}\right\} = 0. \tag{22}$$

The complete dilatational transformation of the action (16) is given by

$$(W^{\mathrm{D}}_{\hat A} + W^{\mathrm{D}}_{\hat\psi} + W^{\mathrm{D}}_\theta)\hat\Sigma_{\mathrm{D}} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\, m\left\langle\hat{\bar\psi}\star\hat\psi\right\rangle. \tag{23}$$

In summary we have

$$W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi+\theta;\tau}\hat\Sigma_{\mathrm{D}} = 0,$$
$$W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi+\theta;\alpha\beta}\hat\Sigma_{\mathrm{D}} = 0,$$
$$W^{\mathrm{D}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi+\theta}\hat\Sigma_{\mathrm{D}} = -m\frac{\partial\hat\Sigma_{\mathrm{D}}}{\partial m}, \tag{24}$$

where

$$W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi+\theta;\tau} = W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\hat A;\tau} + W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\hat\psi;\tau} + W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\theta;\tau},$$
$$W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi+\theta;\alpha\beta} = W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat A;\alpha\beta} + W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat\psi;\alpha\beta} + W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\theta;\alpha\beta},$$
$$W^{\mathrm{D}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi+\theta} = W^{\mathrm{D}}_{\hat A} + W^{\mathrm{D}}_{\hat\psi} + W^{\mathrm{D}}_\theta. \tag{25}$$

The Casimir operators (mass and spin) related to the representation of (13) and (14) are

$$m^2\hat\psi = -g^{\tau\sigma}W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\hat\psi;\tau}W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\hat\psi;\sigma}\hat\psi,$$
$$s(s+1)m^2\hat\psi = -g_{\tau\sigma}W^{\mathrm{PL};\tau}_{\hat\psi}W^{\mathrm{PL};\sigma}_{\hat\psi}\hat\psi, \tag{26}$$

where

$$W^{\mathrm{PL};\sigma}_{\hat\psi}\hat\psi = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\sigma\tau\alpha\beta}W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\hat\psi;\tau}W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat\psi;\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{8}\epsilon^{\sigma\tau\alpha\beta}[\gamma_\alpha,\gamma_\beta]\partial_\tau\psi \tag{27}$$

is the Pauli–Ljubanski vector. This yields

$$m^2\hat\psi = -\partial^\tau\partial_\tau\hat\psi, \tag{28}$$
$$s(s+1)m^2\hat\psi = \frac{1}{32}(g^{\tau\sigma}g^{\alpha\gamma}g^{\beta\delta} + 2g^{\tau\gamma}g^{\alpha\delta}g^{\beta\sigma}) \times [\gamma_\alpha,\gamma_\beta][\gamma_\gamma,\gamma_\delta]\partial_\sigma\partial_\tau\hat\psi = -\frac{3}{4}\partial^\tau\partial_\tau\hat\psi, \tag{29}$$

showing that (13) and (14) are a spin-1/2 representation.

### 3.1 Seiberg–Witten differential equations

As in the bosonic case we derive the Seiberg–Witten differential equations via a covariant splitting of $W^?_{\hat A+\hat\psi+\theta}$:

$$W^?_{\hat A+\hat\psi+\theta} \equiv W^?_{\hat A+\hat\psi} + W^?_\theta = \tilde W^?_{\hat A+\hat\psi} + \tilde W^?_\theta, \tag{30}$$
$$[\tilde W^?_{\hat A+\hat\psi}, W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi;\hat\lambda}] = W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi;\hat\lambda^?_{\hat A+\hat\psi}},$$
$$[\tilde W^?_\theta, W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi;\hat\lambda}] = W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi;\hat\lambda^?_\theta}, \tag{31}$$

whereby we require (31) to be valid on both $\hat A_\mu$ *and* $\hat\psi$. To find the sought for splitting we first apply the ansatz of [1]:

$$\tilde W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\hat A;\tau} = W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi;\hat\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}_\tau} + \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\hat F_{\tau\mu}\frac{\delta}{\delta\hat A_\mu}\right), \tag{32}$$

$$\tilde W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat A;\alpha\beta} = W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi;\hat\lambda^{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\beta}} + \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\{\hat X_\alpha,\hat F_{\beta\mu}\}_\star - \frac{1}{2}\{\hat X_\beta,\hat F_{\alpha\mu}\}_\star - W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\theta;\alpha\beta}(\theta^{\rho\sigma})\hat\Omega_{\rho\sigma\mu}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta\hat A_\mu}\right), \tag{33}$$

$$\tilde W^{\mathrm{D}}_{\hat A} = W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi;\hat\lambda^{\mathrm{D}}} + \int \mathrm{d}^4x\ \mathrm{tr}\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\{\hat X^\delta,\hat F_{\delta\mu}\}_\star - W^{\mathrm{D}}_\theta(\theta^{\rho\sigma})\hat\Omega_{\rho\sigma\mu}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta\hat A_\mu}\right), \tag{34}$$

where we replaced $W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat A;\hat\lambda^?}$ by $W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat A+\hat\psi;\hat\lambda^?}$. $\hat\Omega_{\rho\sigma\mu}$ is a polynomial in the covariant quantities $\theta, \hat F, \hat D\ldots\hat D\hat F$, antisymmetric in $\rho,\sigma$, of power-counting dimension 3, and expresses the freedom in the splitting. In the following we set $\hat\Omega_{\rho\sigma\mu} = 0$. The parameters $\hat\lambda^?$ are unchanged and given by [1]

$$\hat\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}_\tau = \hat A_\tau,$$
$$\hat\lambda^{\mathrm{R}}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4}\{2x_\alpha + \theta_\alpha{}^\rho\hat A_\rho, \hat A_\beta\}_\star - \frac{1}{4}\{2x_\beta + \theta_\beta{}^\rho\hat A_\rho, \hat A_\alpha\}_\star,$$
$$\hat\lambda^{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{1}{2}\{x^\delta, \hat A_\delta\}_\star. \tag{35}$$

We write down a covariantization of (13)–(15),

$$\tilde W^{\mathrm{T}}_{\hat\psi;\tau} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left(\left\langle\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat\psi}\hat D_\tau\hat\psi\right\rangle + \left\langle\hat{\bar D}_\tau\hat{\bar\psi}\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat{\bar\psi}}\right\rangle\right), \tag{36}$$

$$\tilde W^{\mathrm{R}}_{\hat\psi;\alpha\beta} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\left(\left\langle\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat\psi}\left(\frac{1}{4}[\gamma_\alpha,\gamma_\beta]\hat\psi + \hat X_\alpha\star\hat D_\beta\hat\psi - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta_\alpha{}^\rho\hat D_\rho\hat D_\beta\hat\psi - \hat X_\beta\star\hat D_\alpha\hat\psi + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta_\beta{}^\rho\hat D_\rho\hat D_\alpha\hat\psi\right)\right\rangle + \left\langle\left(-\frac{1}{4}\hat{\bar\psi}[\gamma_\alpha,\gamma_\beta] + \hat{\bar D}_\beta\hat{\bar\psi}\star\hat X_\alpha + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta_\alpha{}^\rho\hat{\bar D}_\rho\hat{\bar D}_\beta\hat{\bar\psi} - \hat{\bar D}_\alpha\hat{\bar\psi}\star\hat X_\beta - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\theta_\beta{}^\rho\hat{\bar D}_\rho\hat{\bar D}_\alpha\hat{\bar\psi}\right)\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat{\bar\psi}}\right\rangle\right), \tag{37}$$

$$\tilde{W}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\hat{\psi}} = \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left( \left\langle \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat{\psi}} \left( \frac{3}{2}\hat{\psi} + \hat{X}^\delta \star \hat{D}_\delta\hat{\psi} + \frac{1}{4}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\hat{F}_{\rho\sigma} \star \hat{\psi} \right) \right\rangle + \left\langle \left( \frac{3}{2}\hat{\bar{\psi}} + \hat{\bar{D}}_\delta\hat{\bar{\psi}} \star X^\delta - \frac{1}{4}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\hat{\bar{\psi}} \star \hat{F}_{\rho\sigma} \right) \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat{\bar{\psi}}} \right\rangle \right). \tag{38}$$

where the covariant coordinates [2] are defined by $\hat{X}^\mu = x^\mu + \theta^{\mu\nu}\hat{A}_\nu$. We define $W^?_{\hat{A}+\hat{\psi}}$ as the sum of (32)–(34) with (36)–(38). Now it is easy to evaluate $\tilde{W}^?_\theta = W^?_{\hat{A}+\hat{\psi}+\theta} - \tilde{W}^?_{\hat{A}+\hat{\psi}} = W^?_\theta(\theta^{\rho\sigma})(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}\theta^{\rho\sigma})$, with

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta^{\rho\sigma}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{\rho\sigma}} + \int \mathrm{d}^4x \left( \mathrm{tr}\left( \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{A}_\mu}{\mathrm{d}\theta^{\rho\sigma}} \frac{\delta}{\delta\hat{A}_\mu} \right) + \left\langle \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat{\psi}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\psi}}{\mathrm{d}\theta^{\rho\sigma}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\bar{\psi}}}{\mathrm{d}\theta^{\rho\sigma}} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\hat{\bar{\psi}}} \right\rangle \right), \tag{39}$$

which yields the Seiberg–Witten differential equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{A}_\mu}{\mathrm{d}\theta^{\rho\sigma}} = -\frac{1}{8}\big\{\hat{A}_\rho, \partial_\sigma\hat{A}_\mu + \hat{F}_{\sigma\mu}\big\}_\star + \frac{1}{8}\big\{\hat{A}_\sigma, \partial_\rho\hat{A}_\mu + \hat{F}_{\rho\mu}\big\}_\star, \tag{40}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\psi}}{\mathrm{d}\theta^{\rho\sigma}} = -\frac{1}{4}\hat{A}_\rho \star \partial_\sigma\hat{\psi} + \frac{1}{4}\hat{A}_\sigma \star \partial_\rho\hat{\psi} + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{8}[\hat{A}_\rho, \hat{A}_\sigma]_\star \star \hat{\psi} \equiv -\frac{1}{8}\hat{A}_\rho \star \big(\partial_\sigma\hat{\psi} + \hat{D}_\sigma\hat{\psi}\big) + \frac{1}{8}\hat{A}_\sigma \star \big(\partial_\rho\hat{\psi} + \hat{D}_\rho\hat{\psi}\big), \tag{41}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\bar{\psi}}}{\mathrm{d}\theta^{\rho\sigma}} = -\frac{1}{4}\partial_\sigma\hat{\bar{\psi}} \star \hat{A}_\rho + \frac{1}{4}\partial_\rho\hat{\bar{\psi}} \star \hat{A}_\sigma + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{8}\hat{\bar{\psi}} \star [\hat{A}_\rho, \hat{A}_\sigma]_\star \equiv -\frac{1}{8}\big(\partial_\sigma\hat{\bar{\psi}} + \hat{\bar{D}}_\sigma\hat{\psi}\big) \star \hat{A}_\rho + \frac{1}{8}\big(\partial_\rho\hat{\bar{\psi}} + \hat{\bar{D}}_\rho\hat{\psi}\big) \star \hat{A}_\sigma. \tag{42}$$

The differential equation (40) was first found in [3]. Equation (41) was for noncommutative QED to lowest order in $\theta$ first obtained in [4]. It follows from the algebra given in [1] (extended to include fermions) that $\tilde{W}^?_\theta$ satisfies automatically the second identity in (31), $[\tilde{W}^?_\theta, W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat{A}+\hat{\psi};\hat{\lambda}}] = W^{\mathrm{G}}_{\hat{A}+\hat{\psi};\hat{\lambda}^?_\theta}$ so that the $\theta$-expansion of the action (16) is invariant under commutative gauge transformations. One checks the identity

$$\left[ W^?_{\hat{A}+\hat{\psi}+\theta}, \theta^{\rho\sigma}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta^{\rho\sigma}} \right] = 0 \tag{43}$$

for the theory enlarged by fermions, which means that the $\theta$-expansion based on (40) and (41) leads to a commutative action invariant under commutative rotations and translations and with commutative dilational symmetry broken by the mass term.

## 4 Conclusion

Following the ideas of [1] we have constructed a representation of the infinitesimal rigid conformal transformations for noncommutative fermion fields. We have shown that the requirement that the individual operators of $\theta$- and $(\hat{A}, \hat{\psi})$-transformations commute with gauge transformations up to another gauge transformation leads directly to the $\theta$-dependence of the fermion fields first found in [4].

## References

1. A.A. Bichl, J.M. Grimstrup, H. Grosse, E. Kraus, L. Popp, M. Schweda, R. Wulkenhaar, hep-th/0108045
2. J. Madore, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, J. Wess, Eur. Phys. J. C **16**, 161 (2000) [hep-th/0001203]
3. N. Seiberg, E. Witten, JHEP **9909**, 032 (1999) [hep-th/9908142]
4. A.A. Bichl, J.M. Grimstrup, L. Popp, M. Schweda, R. Wulkenhaar, hep-th/0102103